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#### Abstract

I): $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NSO}$, monoclinic, $P 2_{\mathrm{I}} / a, a=$ 10.258 (6), $b=14.518$ (9), $c=8.898$ (5) $\AA, \beta=$ 104.9 (2) ${ }^{\circ}, Z=4, d_{x}=1.39 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \mu R_{\text {max }}=5.7$. The structure was solved by the symbolic addition procedure. The tetracyclic ring system is trans. (II): $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NSO}_{3}$, triclinic, $P \overline{1}, a=8.300(4), \quad b=$ 10.768 (8), $c=7.957$ (4) $\AA, \alpha=110.2$ (1), $\beta=$ 73.7 (1), $\gamma=100.8(1)^{\circ}, Z=2, d_{x}=1.49 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, $\mu R_{\max }=8 \cdot 2$. The structure was solved by the heavyatom method. The tetracyclic ring system is cis.

Introduction. Heterocyclic analides, such as benzo[b]thiophene, undergo photocyclization in the presence of dissolved oxygen to yield heterocyclic



(I)

(II)
condensed quinolines (Kanaoka, Itoh, Hatanaka, Flippen, Karle \& Witkop, 1975). X-ray analyses were performed on two of these quinolines to determine the stereoconfiguration of the ring fusion.

Both crystals were obtained through B. Witkop of NIAMD. Data for both compounds were collected on an automatic computer-controlled diffractometer ( Cu $K \kappa, \lambda=1.54178 \AA$, Ni filter) using the $\theta-2 \theta$ scan technique. The crystal of (I) $(\sim 0.55 \times 0.58 \times 0.19$ mm ) gave 2074 independent reflections out to a $2 \theta_{\text {max }}$ of $126.5^{\circ}$ and the crystal of (II) $(\sim 0.13 \times 0.38 \times 0.68$ mm ) yielded 1574 reflections.

The structure of (I) was solved by the symbolic addition procedure for centrosymmetric molecules (Karle \& Karle, 1966). For molecule II, the S atom was located in a Patterson map and the remaining atoms were found in two cycles of difference Fourier maps. Full-matrix least-squares refinement (Busing et al., 1971) was carried out on both molecules. The atomic scattering factors used were those listed in International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962). The function minimized was $\Sigma w\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2}$, where the weights $w$ (derived from estimated standard deviations of observed intensity) were calculated
$\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NSO}$ AND $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NSO}_{3}$
Table 1. Fractional coordinates

| Molecule I | Molecule II |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| C(1) | $0 \cdot 1654$ | 0.4459 | 0.2828 | C(1) | 0.2561 | 0.3705 | 0.6491 |
| C(2) | 0.0399 | 0.4799 | 0.2745 | C(2) | 0.1787 | 0.4848 | 0.7601 |
| C(3) | -0.0060 | 0.5597 | 0.1952 | C(3) | 0.1645 | 0.5792 | $0 \cdot 6844$ |
| C(4) | 0.0809 | 0.6090 | 0.1272 | C(4) | 0.2329 | 0.5612 | 0.4988 |
| N(5) | 0.2993 | 0.6262 | 0.0664 | N(5) | 0.3895 | 0.4395 | 0.2044 |
| C(6) | 0.4324 | 0.6199 | 0.1152 | C(6) | 0.4575 | 0.3328 | $0 \cdot 0760$ |
| S(7) | 0.6550 | 0.5200 | 0.2833 | S(7) | 0.2614 | 0.0993 | 0.0589 |
| C(8) | 0.7138 | 0.3632 | 0.4703 | C(8) | 0. 1300 | $-0.0957$ | 0.2308 |
| C(9) | 0.6695 | 0.2824 | 0.5296 | C(9) | 0.1233 | $-0.1443$ | 0.3724 |
| C(10) | 0.5384 | 0.2575 | 0.4840 | C(10) | 0.2118 | $-0.0739$ | 0.5065 |
| C(11) | 0.4391 | 0.3128 | 0.3853 | C(11) | 0.3063 | 0.0458 | 0.5041 |
| C(12) | 0.4824 | 0.3945 | 0.3291 | C(12) | 0.3131 | 0.0972 | 0.3641 |
| C(13) | 0.6139 | 0.4187 | 0.3710 | C(13) | 0.2251 | 0.0241 | 0.2307 |
| C(14) | 0.4829 | 0.5526 | 0.2462 | C(14) | 0.4398 | 0.2095 | $0 \cdot 1327$ |
| C(15) | $0 \cdot 3921$ | 0.4638 | 0.2157 | C(15) | 0.4108 | 0.2267 | 0.3392 |
| C(16) | 0.2549 | 0.4926 | 0.2145 | C(16) | 0.3220 | 0.3498 | 0.4620 |
| C(17) | 0.2079 | 0.5762 | 0.1346 | C(17) | 0.3135 | 0.4488 | 0.3892 |
| C(18) | 0.2403 | 0.6956 | -0.0552 | O(19) | 0.5285 | 0.3301 | -0.0837 |
| O(19) | 0.5131 | 0.6660 | 0.0640 | $\mathrm{O}(20)$ | 0.3167 | 0.0043 | $-0.1198$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{O}(21)$ | $0 \cdot 1270$ | $0 \cdot 1752$ | 0.0841 |
| Standard deviation (average)* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.0006 | 0.0004 | 0.0007 |  | 0.0003 | 0.0002 | 0.0003 |

* Standard deviations are those indicated by the least-squares results.

Table 2. Difference-map coordinates for the hydrogen atoms

Molecule I

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HC(1) | 0.189 | 0.383 | 0.334 |
| HC(2) | -0.041 | 0.453 | $0 \cdot 315$ |
| HC(3) | -0.083 | 0.598 | 0.209 |
| HC(4) | 0.027 | 0.664 | 0.068 |
| HC(8) | 0.805 | 0.386 | 0.499 |
| HC(9) | 0.750 | $0 \cdot 241$ | 0.577 |
| HC(10) | 0.498 | 0.200 | 0.535 |
| HC(11) | 0.340 | 0.295 | 0.356 |
| HC(14) | $0 \cdot 468$ | 0.587 | 0.354 |
| HC(15) | 0.386 | 0.433 | 0.097 |
| HC(18) | 0.141 | 0.671 | 0.836 |
| HC(18) | 0.186 | 0.747 | -0.007 |
| HC(18)" | $0 \cdot 306$ | 0.706 | 0.885 |

Molecule II

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HC(1) | 0.262 | 0.298 | 0.707 |
| HC(2) | 0.139 | 0.501 | 0.890 |
| HC(3) | 0.100 | 0.651 | 0.763 |
| HC(4) | 0.227 | 0.625 | 0.452 |
| HN(5) | 0.399 | 0.508 | 0.175 |
| HC(8) | 0.067 | -0.144 | 0.122 |
| HC(9) | 0.055 | -0.220 | 0.391 |
| HC(10) | 0.209 | -0.105 | 0.584 |
| HC(11) | 0.365 | 0.088 | 0.599 |
| HC(15) | 0.538 | 0.162 | 0.070 |
|  | 0.518 | 0.237 | 0.363 |

according to Gilardi (1973). In addition, for compound I , all data with $\left|F_{o}\right|<3 \cdot 0 \sigma_{I F_{0},}$ were given zero weight and omitted from the refinement. For (II) all data were used in the refinement. No absorption corrections were applied. All the H atoms for both molecules were located in difference maps and included in the leastsquares refinement as constants. The refinement of (I) was terminated arbitrarily at an earlier stage of convergence than that of (II). When refinement was complete the standard deviation of an observation of unit weight was 8.7 for (I) and 3.4 for (II). Final $R$ factors for molecule I were $R=9.2 \% ~\left(R_{w}=14.4 \%\right.$ )
for the data used in the refinement and $R=9.7 \%$ ( $R_{w}=14 \cdot 4 \%$ ) for the full set of data. For (II) the final $R$ factors were $R=4 \cdot 2 \%$ and $R_{w}=5 \cdot 3 \%$. Refined coordinates for the nonhydrogen atoms are listed in Table 1. Difference-map coordinates for the H atoms are listed in Table 2.*

[^0]
(a)

(b)

Tig. 1. (a) Stereodiagram of molecule I. (b) Stereodiagram of molecule II. In both cases the S atom is horizontally shaded, the N atom is vertically shaded and the O atoms are completely shaded. The figures were drawn by a computer using the program ORTEP (Johnson, 1965).

Discussion. The stereoconfiguration of both molecules is illustrated in Fig. 1. Bond distances and angles are illustrated in Figs. 2 and 3 for molecules I and II respectively. The effects of conjugation of the aromatic rings and the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ group in both molecules can be seen by the shortened bond lengths of the adjacent $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$, and $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}$ single bonds. In the aromatic rings $(A$ and $D$ ) the average $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ angle is $120.0^{\circ}$ for ( I ) and $120 \cdot 1^{\circ}$ for (II). The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}$ angle is $87.8^{\circ}$ in (I) and


Fig. 2. Bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for molecule I. Standard deviations are of the order of $0.010 \AA$ for the distances and $0.6^{\circ}$ for the angles.
$93.4^{\circ}$ in (II). Differences between the molecules, which have an effect on their structures, are the configuration of the H atoms on $\mathrm{C}(14)$ and $\mathrm{C}(15)$ and the bonding environment of the N atom. In (I) the H atoms are trans to each other, which allows the molecule to be fully extended with all the ring junctions $(A / B, B / C$, and $C / D$ ) trans. The effect of the repulsive forces between the H atoms on $\mathrm{C}(1)$ and $\mathrm{C}(11)$ can be seen in


Fig. 3. Bond length $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for molecule II. Standard deviations are of the order of $0.003 \AA$ for the bond lengths and $0.3^{\circ}$ for the angles.


Fig. 4. Packing diagram for molecule II illustrating the $\mathrm{NH} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bond.
the large values for the external $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ angles at the three-connected C atoms, i.e. $\mathrm{C}(12), \mathrm{C}(15)$ and $\mathrm{C}(16)$ : $126 \cdot 0,127 \cdot 0$ and $126.4^{\circ}$, respectively. In (II) the angular tertiary H atoms on $\mathrm{C}(14)$ and $\mathrm{C}(15)$ are cis to each other and consequently there is a cis junction between rings $B$ and $C$ which gives the molecule a folded rather than an extended conformation. As a consequence, the H atoms on $\mathrm{C}(1)$ and $\mathrm{C}(11)$ do not interfere with each other and the values for the external angles at $\mathrm{C}(15)$ and $\mathrm{C}(16)$ (113.7 and $121.5^{\circ}$, respectively) are smaller than the related angles in (I). For molecule I the average $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distance is $1.05 \AA$. In (II) the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ distance is $0.84 \AA$ and the average $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$
distance is $0.95 \AA$. Angles involving the H atoms fall within normal ranges for both molecules.

The change in the environment at the N atom, from a $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group in (I) to a H atom in (II), has an effect on the molecular packing. The methyl substituent in (I) precludes the possibility of any hydrogen bonding, and the molecules are held together in the unit cell solely by van der Waals forces, with the closest intermolecular approaches being $C(8) \cdots C(16)$ at $3.47 \AA$ and $\mathrm{C}(11) \cdots \mathrm{C}(18)$ at $3.48 \AA$. In (II) the molecules are held together in pairs across a center of symmetry by a hydrogen bond extending from the imido group to the O of the lactam carbonyl, with an $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distance of $2.86 \AA$ and an $\mathrm{NH} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ angle of $168.7^{\circ}$ (see Fig. 4). This hydrogen bond allows the molecules to pack more closely in the unit cell and accounts in part for the higher crystal density noted for (II).
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# Dehydrated Natural Erionite with Stacking Faults of the Offretite Type 
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Abstract. A single crystal of erionite, $\sim \mathrm{Mg}_{0.7^{-}}$ $\mathrm{Na}_{0.9} \mathrm{~K}_{2.0} \mathrm{Ca}_{2.4} \mathrm{Al}_{9.1} \mathrm{Si}_{26.9} \mathrm{O}_{72} \cdot x \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, from Mazé, Japan, was dehydrated in high vacuum at $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 d , and the structure refined in $P 6_{3} / m m c \quad[a=$ 13.252 (4), $c=14.810$ (7) $\AA$ ) to a weighted $R$ of 0.062 . About $6 \%$ of the single six-membered rings are stacked $\dot{A} A \dot{B}$ as in offretite instead of the $\dot{A} A B A A \dot{C}$ stacking of erionite. In dehydrated erionite the center of the cancrinite cage is occupied by Ca with trigonalprismatic coordination instead of $K$ as in the hydrated
variety. This internal ion-exchange during dehydration results in K moving to a planar six-coordinated site at the center of an eight-membered ring. The $\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Mg}$ and remaining Ca ions are bonded to three O atoms in the single six-membered rings at the ends of the erionite cages. The unusually long $\mathrm{Ca}-\mathrm{O}$ distance of $2.73 \AA$ may result from forces resisting further collapse of the cancrinite cage. $\mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{Al}$ disorder is indicated by the near equality of the mean $T-O$ distances for the two independent tetrahedra. The deviation of an individual


[^0]:    * Lists of structure factors and anisotropic thermal parameters have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 32788 ( 28 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 13 White Friars, Chester CH1 INZ, England.

